RETRACTION LETTER

Effectiveness of Gensingen Brace Treatment for Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis: A Prospective Cohort Study. J Turk Spinal Surg. 2020;31:130-134.

The corresponding and the first author, (Şahin Karalar), and the Journal wish to retract the July 2020 original article entitled "Effectiveness of Gensingen Brace Treatment for Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis: A Prospective Cohort Study." The authors of the paper used "Gensingen Brace" without the permission of the doctor, Hans-Rudolp Weiss, who is the proprietor of this brace.

The corresponding author requests retraction of the paper in its entirety and apologizes to the reviewers, editors, and readers of Journal of the Turkish Spinal Surgery for any adverse consequences that may have resulted from the paper's publication.

J Turk Spinal Surg 2020;31(3):130-4

DOI: 10.4274/jtss.galenos.2020.268

ORIGINAL ARTICLE-

130

EFFECTIVENESS OF GENSINGEN BRACE TREATMENT FOR ADOLESCENT IDIOPATHIC SCOLIOSIS: A PROSPECTIVE COHORT STUDY

İstanbul University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatolog Istanbul, Turkey

Objective: The efficacy of brace treatment for patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) main controver al. This study aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of Gensingen brace treatment in patients with AIS (identified using the Scole is Research Society inclusion criteria) and explore factors affecting treatment success rates.

Materials and Methods: This study included twenty-five patients diagnosed with Al and the red using a Gerangen brace between April 2015 and February 2018. Initial brace correction rates and progression of the main curves were evaluated. Treatment outcomes were classified into a) progression if $\geq 6^{\circ}$ increase in curvature was observed and b) improvement use of $\geq 6^{\circ}$ declass in curvature. The association between treatment success rate and age, gender, Lenke classification, Risser grade, in call Cobb angle, and rotation grade was examined.

Results: The spinal curvature was seen to progress in 13 cases, improve in two cases, and rectain unchanged in 10 cases, yielding a success rate of 48% (12/25). Moreover, only three out of 25 cases exhibited progression on the Cobb angle a type 45° requiring surgery. The mean pre-brace Cobb angle of the main curves was $27.9^{\circ}\pm6.7^{\circ}$ (range: 20° to 37°) and the mean suration of brue treatment was 37.2 months (range: 6-76 months). The mean Cobb angle at the end of treatment was $32.1^{\circ}\pm8.2^{\circ}$ (range: 15° to 5°). Successful treatment outcomes were correlated with initial Cobb angle (r=0.680; p=<0.001), rotation grade (r=-0.458; p=0.25), and main thore we cenke classification (r=0.481; p=0.020), although no such association with age and Risser grade was observed.

Conclusion: The patient's age, Risser grade, and gender showed significant association with successful treatment outcomes, although initial Cobb angle, rotation of apical vertebra, and Lenke station d

Keywords: Brace treatment, Gensingen brace, *z* descent iopathic poliosis, conservative treatment

INTRODUCTION

ABSTRA

The ideal treatment plan for *r* dents with add scent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) and Cobb a sles between 10-25 is still unclear, with several studies successing enabilitation and bracing as suggested for r area, with inal arves of 20-30°, and a curvature im svemen of 5° or note may be observed between subsequent vits. It can be suggested as the treatment of choice in skeleta (immature (Risser grade 2 or lower) patients with spinal curvatues of 30-45°⁽⁴⁾. To date, a wide range of braces, such as Boston, Milwaukee, Wilmington, Osaka Medical College, soft braces (SpineCor/TriaC), and night-time braces (Providence/Charleston) have been developed⁽³⁾. Orthopaedic braces, when used for the treatment of scoliosis, may prevent curvature progression in patients with AIS⁽⁵⁾. However, braces should be assessed individually as treatment outcomes often depend on various factors such as the percentage of in-brace

correction, patient compliance, and duration of treatment⁽⁶⁻⁸⁾. As previous studies have reported increasing popularity of the Gensingen Brace due to greater patient satisfaction, the current study aims to evaluates its effectiveness in the treatment of AIS and explores the factors influencing treatment outcomes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This prospective clinical cohort study was conducted at our clinic between April 2016 and February 2018, and patients diagnosed with progressive idiopathic scoliosis were asked if they wanted to volunteer to partake. Ethical approval was acquired from the Research Ethics Board at the University (24.10.2018), and written informed consent was collected from all participants and their quardians.

Inclusion criteria: This study included all patients who met the Scoliosis Research Society (SRS) inclusion criteria, as follows: age >=10 years at the time of brace prescription; Risser stage 0-2; primary curvature angles between 25-40°, no

Address for Correspondence: Serkan Bayram, İstanbul University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology, Istanbul, Turkey Phone: +90 212 414 20 00 E-mail: dr.serkanbayram89@gmail.com Received: 09.07.2020 Accepted: 31.07.2020 ORCID ID: orcid.org/0000-0001-7651-1200





prior treatment for AIS; either pre-menarchal or <1 year postmenarchal⁽⁹⁾; and available for a minimum follow-up period of two years.

Exclusion criteria: Patients with a history of brace treatment and co-morbidities that could change the course of AIS (such as genetic defects, neuromuscular disorders, metabolic disorders, and severe trauma) were excluded from this study.

Gensingen Boston type braces were fabricated and placement of the pressure pads were checked by the same certified orthopaedist. Standing anteroposterior (AP) X-rays were used to confirm in-brace correction as well as the patient's full spinal alignment, including the pelvis, while wearing the brace (Figure 1). The correction magnitude threshold was >50% reduction of the initial Cobb angle, and patients were instructed to wear the brace for a minimum of 23 hours per day at the start of treatment. Skeletal maturity was defined as fulfilment of the following three criteria: a) Risser stage equal to 4; b) completion of at least two years since the onset of menstruation (for girls); and c) two consecutive visits over at least one year where no more than 1 cm increase in height was observed. Brace treatment was stopped one year after skeletal maturity.

This study included twenty-five patients (22 girls and 3 boys) diagnosed with AIS, and the mean age of the cohort was 11.4±1.19 years (range: 10-14) at the start of treatment. X , were taken before commencement of treatment, at the start of treatment while wearing the brace, before and after eac subsequent brace, and at skeletal maturity (after wearing the brace). In-brace X-rays were taken six weeks order the cart of treatment, and again six months after completion of constants.



Figure 1. Patient treated using Gensingen type brace

or achievement of "skeletal maturity". All analyses of changes in Cobb angle were carried out by the senior author T.A. Patients were grouped into two main curvature types, main thoracic (Lenke I, II, or III; n=10) and main lumbar (Lenke V or VI, n=15). Rotation of apical vertebrae was measured using the Nash & Moe method, which is based on the relationship between the vertebral pedicles and the centre of the vertebral body in an AP X-ray⁽⁹⁾. Rotation was grouped into five degrees based on the position of the pedicles, with 0° representing no vertebral rotation where the pedicles were used halfway to the lateral margins of the vertebral odies. The tational degree progressed as the pedicles of the apical vetebrae moved towards the median line in the AP λ , vs, with the highest value (4°) being reached when the pedicle c. see the median line. Clinical outcomes we e asser ed using the SRS criteria. The Cobb angle was recasuled in patients without the brace) using standing AP since X-rays, a. Youtcomes were classified into the following $f_{\rm out}$ (1) improve tobb angle decreased by 6° or more; (2) stable: nore than 5° progression or improvement; (3) processed: increasing in the Cobb angle by 6° or more; and surgical: progression of the Cobb angle beyond 45° requiring rgical intervation.

S istical Ana sis

All static analyses were carried out using SPSS version 10 (IBM Corp, 2011, Armonk, New York). Descriptive statistics, including mean, standard deviation, median, frequency, ratio, and minimum and maximum values, were generated for the cohort. Quantitative comparisons were carried out between the two groups using Student's t-test for normally distributed data and Mann-Whitney U test when the distribution was not normal. Pearson chi-square test, Fisher Freeman Halton Exact test, and Fisher's exact test were used to compare qualitative data. The significance level was set at p<0.05 a priori.

RESULTS

This study included 25 patients, of which three were male and 22 were female. Three patients underwent surgery for scoliosis, and the curvature distribution in the cohort was as follows: main thoracic curvature (n=8), thoracolumbar curvature (n=10), lumbar curvature (n=5), double major curvature (n=1), and double thoracic curvature (n=1). The distribution of Risser stages was as follows: grade 1 (n=8 cases), grade 2 (n=8), and grades 2-3 (n=9). The apices of the main curves were at T6 in four cases and below T7 in 11 cases (T8 in four cases, T9 in three cases, T10 in one case, T11 in one case, T12 in two cases, L1 in two cases, L2 in five cases, and L3 in three cases). The mean pre-brace Cobb angle for the main curve was 27.9°±6.7° (range: 20° to 37°) and the mean duration of brace treatment was 37.2 months (range: 16-76 months). The mean Cobb angle at the end of treatment was 32.1°±8.2° (range: 15° to 45°). The distribution of rotation, as per the Nash Moe classification, in the cohort was as follows: grade 1 (n=12), grade 2 (n=6), grade 3 (n=2), and grade 4 (n=4).



The last follow-up consultation after completion of treatment showed that curvature had progressed in 13 cases, improved in two cases, and remained unchanged in 10 cases (Figure 2 A, B and 3 A, B). Only three cases exhibited progression of the Cobb angle beyond 45° and were recommended for surgery. Therefore, a success rate of 48% (12/25) was accomplished.

No correlation between age, Risser grade, and brace treatment outcome was observed. However, successful treatment outcomes were seen to be significantly associated with initial Cobb angle (r=0.680; p=<0.001), rotation grade (r=-0.458; p=0.028), and main thoracic Lenke classification (r=0.481; p=0.020).

DISCUSSION

Using age and simple morphologic classifications (Cobb, Lenke classification, and Risser grade), we definitively demonstrated a success rate of Gensingen brace treatment in AIS and the relationship between the uncomplicated parameters and

brace benefit⁽¹⁰⁾. Thompson et al.⁽¹¹⁾, in their study examining treatment of 168 patients using thoraco-lumbosacral orthosis braces, reported that curvature improvement of \geq 50°(p=0.0383) was observed in 35.8% (43 of 120) of patients with persistent main thoracic curves, 20.0% (6 of 30) of patients with persistent main lumbar curves, 12.5% (1 of 8) of patients with main thoracic curves that converted into main lumbar curves, and 0% (0 of 9) of patients with main lumbar curves⁽¹⁰⁾.

Thoracic curves are associated when a wher risk of brace failure than lumbar curves, independent a primary curve magnitude and the average dura on of daily hace wear. This was corroborated by the indings on the current study, where patients with main the acic curves exhibited a higher success rate than those with the in lumbar curves. Additionally, rotation also exhibited correlation with successful treatment outcomes. While some inical studies reprized an association between



Figure 2. A) Standing anteroposterior radiograph of patient before commencement of treatment with Gensingen brace

Figure 2. B) Successful treatment outcome observed upon removal of Gensingen brace

curve progression and younger age^(11,12), others reported no evidence of a relationship between curve improvement and age. Cheung et al.⁽¹³⁾, in their study consisting of 586 patients (mean duration of wearing brace: 3.8±1.5 years, mean postbracing follow-up duration: 2.0±1.1 years), found that curve progression exhibited an association with younger age (Odds ratio: 0.71; 95% confidence interval: 0.55 to 0.91; p=0.008) ⁽¹²⁾. Yrjönen et al.⁽¹⁴⁾, in their study examining treatment of 102 patients with AIS using a Boston brace, reported no statistically significant association between the risk of curve progression and the patient's age, curve pattern, or curve magnitude⁽¹³⁾. Similarly, Peltonen et al.⁽¹⁵⁾ examined 107 patients diagnosed with idiopathic scoliosis who were treated using a Boston brace (mean post-treatment follow-up duration of 3 years) and found no correlation between the patients' age at the start of the treatment and the treatment outcome⁽¹⁴⁾. The findings



of the current study were in agreement with this, with no relationship between the patient's age and curve progression being observed.

Another key factor that affects treatment outcome is the initial spinal curvature exhibited by patients with AIS. Emans et al.⁽¹⁶⁾ suggested that a Boston brace stated that higher primary curve magnitude enhanced the potential for surgery⁽¹⁵⁾. Katz and Durani⁽¹⁷⁾. reported that double curves with an initial thoracic curve >35° were more likely to exhibit progression, although this was contradicted by **Causer** t al.⁽¹⁸⁾ who found that lower baseline Cobb angles vere associlled with limited progression rates, although then, indings were not statistically significant. Kuroki et al.⁽¹⁾ observ ' lower ccess rates in patients with Cobb ar les between ¹⁰ .d 30° compared to those with Cobb gles at ve 30° (acrough this was not statistically significant, and concluded that there was no association by ween curve hagnⁱ de and treatment success. The system Lice view conductor by Van Den Bogaart et al.⁽²⁰⁾ found moderate sumtific evidence supporting no association bety initial Coll angle and treatment failure and dequate evidence on treatment success. The present study



Figure 3. A) Standing anteroposterior radiograph of patient before commencement of treatment with Gensingen brace





showed a notable correlation between the primary Cobb angle and treatment success.

Study Limitations

This study has several limitations including small sample size, relatively short follow-up period, and limited number of male patients, thus preventing examination of any associations between sex and treatment outcome. The small sample size also prevented accurate measurement of the Risser stage, which has been previously shown to influence brace success. Finally, the mean duration of daily bracing was not assessed, preventing examination of its effect on compliance and treatment success.

CONCLUSION

Treatment of AIS in skeletally immature patients using a Gensingen brace can significantly decrease risk of curve progression to the threshold requiring surgical intervention. This study found that the initial Cobb angle, rotation of apical vertebra, and Lenke classification were significantly associated with treatment success, while no such association was observed with the patient's age, Risser grade, and gender.

Ethics

Ethics Committee Approval: Ethical approval was provided by the institutional review board (24.10.2018).

Informed Consent: Informed consent was collected from patients.

Peer-review: Internally peer-reviewed.

Authorship Contributions

Concept: Ş.K., Design: M.A.Ö., Data Collection of Processing. Analysis or Interpretation: S.B., L'arature arch: S.B., Writing: T.A., S.B.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict ownteres, was declared by the authors.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared this study received no financial station.

REFERENCES

- 1. Weiss HR, Sodall D. e treatmen of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) accound to the bidence. A systematic review. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med. 201,44:177-93.
- Sy Ng, Bettany-tikov J, Moramarco M. Evidence for conservative treatment of acceptent idiopathic scoliosis - Update 2015 (MiniReview). Curr Penatr Rev. 2016;12:6-11.
- Negrini S, Minozzi S, Bettany-Saltikov J, Zaina F, Chockalingam N, Grivas TB, et al. Braces for idiopathic scoliosis in adolescents. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010:CD006850 doi: 10.1002/14651858. CD006850.pub2.

- Silva FE, Lenke LG. Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. In: Errico TJ, Lonner BS, Moulton AW, (Eds). Surgical Management of Spinal Deformities. Philadelphia, Pa: Saunders Elsevier; 2009;pp:97e118.
- Fang MQ, Wang C, Xiang GH, Lou C, Tian NF, Xu HZ. Long-term effects of the Ch[^]eneau brace on coronal and sagittal alignment in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. J Neurosurg Spine. 2015;23:505-9.
- Landauer F, Wimmer C, Behensky H. Estimating the final outcome of brace treatment for idiopathic thoracic scoliosis at 6-month followup. Pediatr Rehabil. 2003;6:201-7.
- Guo J, Lam TP, Wong MS, Ng BKW, Lee KM, Liu KL, et al. A prospective randomized controlled study on the treatment outcome of SpineCor brace versus rigid brace for adolectent is pathic scoliosis with follow-up according to the SRS candardized steria. Eur Spine J. 2014;23:2650-7.
- De Giorgi S, Piazzolla A, Taf S, Borgerci C, Marturgi A, De Giorgi G. Chêneau brace for adolement idiopath accolloge long-term results. Can it prevent surger pur spin 2 2013, 2013, 2015.
- Richards BS, Bern in Pr., D'Amato CR, Thompson GH. Standardization of C. for ad scent idiopathic scoliosis brace studie. SRS Condittee Bracing and Nonoperative Managerous Spine. 2005;5: 270:3-75.
- 10. Nash C., Moe Jr., study of vertebral rotation. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1969-51:223-9.
- 11 nompson RM, Hubb J EW, Jo CH, Virostek D, Karol LA. Brace Success Is Related to Curve Type in Patients with Adolescent Idiopathic Schosis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2017;99:923-8.
- Lonstein JE, Parlson JM. The prediction of curve progression in reated is opathic scoliosis during growth. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 198-, 1061-71.
- beung JPY, Cheung PWH, Yeng WC, Chan LCK. Does Curve Regression Occur During Underarm Bracing in Patients with Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2020;478:334-45.
- 14. Yrjönen T, Ylikoski M, Schlenzka D, Poussa M. Results of brace treatment of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis in boys compared with girls: a retrospective study of 102 patients treated with the Boston brace. Eur Spine J. 2007;16:393-7.
- 15. Peltonen J, Poussa M, Ylikoski M. Three-year results of bracing in scoliosis. Acta Orthop Scand. 1988;59:487-90.
- Emans J, Kaelin A, Bancel P, Hall J, Miller M. The Boston bracing system for idiopathic scoliosis: follow-up results in 295 patients. *Spine*. 1986;11:792-801.
- Katz D, Durrani A. Factors that influence outcome in bracing large curves in patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2001;26:2354-61.
- Ovadia D, Eylon S, Mashiah A, Wientroub S, Lebel E. Factors associated with the success of the Rigo System Cheneau brace in treating mild to moderate adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. J Child Orthop. 2012;6:327-31.
- Kuroki H, Inomate N, Hamanaka H, Higa K, Chosa E, Tajima N. Predictive factors of Osaka Medical College (OMC) brace treatment in patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. Scoliosis. 2015;10:11.
- Van Den Bogaart M, Van Royen B, Haanstra T, De Kleuver M, Faraj S. Predictive factors for brace treatment outcome in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: a best-evidence synthesis. Eur Spine J. 2019;28:511-25.